26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP  Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010  MARILYN HALL PATEL			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP  Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  V. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.,  Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.	1		
4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE V. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.,  Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4 During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	2		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4 During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.	3	3	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4 During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	4		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  V. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4 During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP  Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  V.  CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	6		
ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4 During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
ANDREW M. MUSAELIAN, No. C 08-5054 MHP  Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  V. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE  V. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al., Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	9		No. C 08-5054 MHP
ORDER DISMISSING CASE  V.  CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.,  Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	10	)	2.00 2.00 2.00 7.0000
CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.,  Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	11		ORDER DISMISSING CASE
Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	12	2	
Defendants.  On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	13		
On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	14		_/
On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4  During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010	15		
During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010		On May 26, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed with leave to amend. Docket No. 4	
plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 24, 2010		During the ten months thereafter, plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint. Accordingly,	
19		plaintiff's action is dismissed in its entirety.	
20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 Dated: March 24, 2010			
21 Dated: March 24, 2010		IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: March 24, 2010			nell Zer
		Dated: March 24, 2010	MAZII VN HALL DATEI
United States District Court Judge Northern District of California			United States District Court Judge
24			
25			